

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,789	10/041,789 01/08/2002		Hans-Walter Bielefeld	BIELEFELD	4601	
20151	7590	04/23/2003				
HENRY M		ISEN	EXAMINER			
350 FIFTH A SUITE 3220		110		THISSELL, J	ENNIFER I	
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10118			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3635		
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/041,789	BIELEFELD ET AL.				
interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer I Thissell	3635				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jennifer I Thissell</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Henry Feiereisen</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>22 April 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3 and 17</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Nimmrichter (EP 0828052 A2), Schock et al. (U.S. 4,492,063).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative suggested amending claim 1 to include the limitations of claims 3 and 17, in order to further define stiffening elements made of metal, and stiffening elements that form partition walls between two of the inner chambers, so as to overcome the 102 rejection based on Schock et al. ('063). Applicant also pointed out that Nimmrichter's metal elements could not be considered "stiffening" elements, because the reference points out that the elements 25 should be kept to a minimum so as to not adversely affect the entire profile. The Examiner will provide a translation in order to further verify the function of elements 25. Assuming this informal translation is valid, and that the elements 25 somehow weaken the entire profile, the Examiner stated that the proposed changes would appear to overcome the Nimmrichter reference. However, it was pointed out that any changes to the scope of the claims would not be entered as this case is After Final. If an RCE or equivalent was to be filed, an additional search as well as additional review of the cited prior art would be required .